

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/790,117 03/02/2004		Osamu Saito	1785.1008	1130		
21171	7590	06/23/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & I	HALSEY L	LLP	DAVIS, ROBERT B			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVE	NUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 2	20005	1722			
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			0/790,117	SAITO ET AL.					
			xaminer	Art Unit					
		R	obert B. Davis	1722					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appear	rs on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SH WHIC - Exte - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) ornication. utory period will ap vill, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUNI). In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MOI use the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .							
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		tion is non-final.						
	·—								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner							
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t				FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		-		• •				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) 🔀	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreian pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
. ,.	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies o			·· —	l Stage				
	application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			J				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			·						
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT			s)/Mail Date	O-152\				
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/4, 8/20/4, 2/24/66. Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/790,117

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cambique et al (4,251,858: figures 1-4; column 1, lines 15-46; column 12, lines 31-57; column 13, lines 10-30; column 14, lines 13-41; column 15, lines 15-28; column 20, line 66 to column 21, line 7; column 21, lines 31-37 and column 22, lines 39-55) taken together with lnaba et al (5,062,784: figure 1; column 3, lines 17-34; column 4, lines 13-23 and column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 6).

Cambique et al disclose a machine information printing system comprising, a plurality of N/C machines (15), a plurality of local computers (30) for controlling a single

N/C machine, a front-end computer (50) connected to the local computers, a central data processing computer (18) for storing process data and connected to a printer (19), the printer (19), and a distributed microcomputer network (column 4, lines 61-62). The central data processing computer determines when a report should be printed and instructs printer (19) to print the report. The central data processing computer also receives print requests from individual local computers by an operator through the frontend computer to print a report. The central data processing computer (18) includes dual floppy disk storage. The reference fails to disclose that a molding machine being controlled by the computer control system.

Inaba et al disclose a molding condition recording apparatus for an injection-molding machine wherein the molding machine is controlled by a numerical control unit or NC unit. The reference is used to illustrate that the phrase NC machines encompass molding machines.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Cambique et al by modifying the NC machine to include a molding machine as disclosed by Inaba et al because it was well known in the art that NC machines included molding machines.

4. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cambique et al taken together with Inaba et al as applied to claims 1-12 above, and further in view of Shiohara (6,822,754: figures 1-2 and column 4, lines 1-55).

The combination of Cambique et al and Inaba et al discloses all claimed features except for the printer being connected via a network or a plurality of printers.

Art Unit: 1722

Shiohara discloses a plurality of printers connected to a network wherein selection of a printer based on pending print jobs is made by a selection device.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of the previous combination by attaching the printer to the printing system by means of a network as disclosed by Shiohara because this manner of connection allows for a printer to be used by multiple computers. It would have been further obvious to modification to provide a plurality of printers and a means to select from the plurality of printers as disclosed by Shiohara for the purpose of having the flexibility of multiple printers to ensure timely printing of information.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,117

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert B. Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

Page 5

6/21/06